

## REMARKS

Applicants respectfully request the Examiner's reconsideration of the present application as amended. Claims 1, 9, 15, and 24 have been amended. The following is intended to be a summary of our interview.

The combination of Tyan in view of Scott does not render independent claim 1 obvious in view of Tyan and Scott under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Applicants respectfully assert that claim 1 is not obvious in view of Tyan and Scott under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). Claim 1, as amended, states:

1. An apparatus, comprising:  
a computer readable media; and  
a program written in a page description language and embedded on the computer readable media, the program to provide instructions, which when executed by a machine, cause the machine to display and to manipulate a bitmap image within a window in a network system, the bitmap image having a hierarchical system of folders containing additional content associated with the bitmap image, wherein the hierarchical system of folders is accessible through the displayed image.

(Emphasis Added)

Tyan does not disclose displaying a bitmap image within a window that has a hierarchical system of folders containing additional content associated with the bitmap image and the hierarchical system of folders is accessible through the displayed image.

Scott discloses an index of folders that may be clicked on to display an image.

Scott does not disclose displaying a bitmap image within a window that has a hierarchical system of folders containing additional content associated with the bitmap image and the hierarchical system of folders is accessible through the displayed image.

Further, the combination of Tyan and Scott does not disclose or suggest a bitmap image within a window that has a hierarchical system of folders containing additional content associated with the bitmap image and the hierarchical system of folders is accessible through the displayed image.

Therefore, the combination of Tyan in view of Scott does not render independent claim 1, as amended, obvious in view of Tyan and Scott under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Given that claims 2-8 and 28 depend from and include the limitations of claim 1, applicants submit that claims 2-8 and 28 are not obvious in view of Tyan and Scott under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Likewise, applicants assert that claim 9 is not obvious in view of Tyan and Scott under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). Claim 9, as amended, states:

9. An method, comprising:
  - creating a window, the window being defined by a page description language;
  - displaying in the window a bitmap image having a hierarchical system of folders having additional content associated with the bitmap image;
  - accessing the hierarchical system of folders through the displayed image; and
  - enabling manipulation of the bitmap image in the window.

(emphasis added)

As discussed above, the combination of Tyan and Scott does not disclose or suggest displaying in a window a bitmap image having a hierarchical system of folders that have additional content associated with the bitmap image and accessing the hierarchical system of folders through the displayed image.

Therefore, the combination of Tyan in view of Scott does not render independent claim 9, as amended, obvious in view of Tyan and Scott under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Given that claims 10-14 depend from and include the limitations of claim 9, applicants submit that claims 10-14 are not obvious in view of Tyan and Scott under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Likewise, applicants assert that claim 15 is not obvious in view of Tyan and Scott under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). Claim 15, as amended, states:

15. An apparatus, comprising:
  - an image viewer to display and to enable manipulation of a bitmap image within a window in a network system, the bitmap image

having a hierarchical system of folders containing additional content associated with the bitmap image and accessible through the displayed image.

(emphasis added)

As discussed above, the combination of Tyan and Scott does not disclose or suggest a displayed bitmap image having a hierarchical system of folders containing additional content associated with the bitmap image and accessible through the displayed image.

Therefore, the combination of Tyan in view of Scott does not render independent claim 15, as amended, obvious in view of Tyan and Scott under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Given that claims 16-23 depend from and include the limitations of claim 15, applicants submit that claims 16-23 are not obvious in view of Tyan and Scott under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Likewise, applicants assert that claim 24, as amended, is not obvious in view of Tyan and Scott under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a). Claim 24, as amended, states:

24. An apparatus, comprising:

means for creating a window, the window being defined by a page description language;

means for displaying in the window a bitmap image having a hierarchical system of folders having additional content associated with the bitmap image;

means for accessing the hierarchical system of folders through the displayed image; and

means for enabling manipulation of the bitmap image in the window.

(emphasis added)

As discussed above, the combination of Tyan and Scott does not disclose or suggest a means for displaying in a window a bitmap image having a hierarchical system of folders that have additional content associated with the bitmap image and accessing the hierarchical system of folders through the displayed image.

Therefore, the combination of Tyan in view of Scott does not render independent claim 24, as amended, obvious in view of Tyan and Scott under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Given that claims 25-27 depend from and include the limitations of claim 24, applicants submit that claims 25-27 are not obvious in view of Tyan and Scott under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).


Applicants respectfully submit that in view of the amendments and discussion set forth herein, the applicable rejections have been overcome. Accordingly the present claims, claims 1-28, should be found to be in condition for allowance.

If the Examiner finds any remaining impediment to the prompt allowance of these claims that could be clarified with a telephone conference, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Tom Ferrill at (408) 720-8300. Note, the attorney docket number for this patent application has changed. Authorization is hereby given to charge our Deposit Account No. 02-2666 for any charges that may be due.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: 11-14, 2003

  
Tom Ferrill  
Reg. No. 42, 352

12400 Wilshire Blvd.  
Seventh Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90025  
(408) 720-8300